

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD**

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD	)	
Complainant	)	
	)	Docket Number: 2026-0083
vs.	)	
	)	MISLE Activity ID: 8298977
RAYNER DIAZ BAILEY	)	
Respondent.	)	

ADMISSION ORDER

By: Honorable Timothy G. Stueve, Administrative Law Judge

Issued: February 26, 2026

Appearances:

For the Coast Guard

Jesus Porrata
Sector Miami

For the Respondent

Rayner Diaz Bailey, *Pro Se*

On or about February 24, 2026, the United States Coast Guard (USCG or Coast Guard), filed a Complaint against Rayner Diaz Bailey (Respondent) alleging Respondent committed misconduct while acting under the authority of Respondent's Merchant Mariner Credential (MMC) by engaging in official matters regarding his MMC by engaging in a drug test pursuant to 46 CFR Part 16.

The Coast Guard alleges:

1. On October 21, 2025, Respondent was directed by his Consortium/Third Party Agent to take a required random drug test pursuant to 46 CFR Part 16.
2. Respondent failed to appear at the collection facility for the required drug test pursuant to 46 CFR Part 16, within a reasonable time, as determined by the Consortium/Third Party Agent.
3. Failure to appear for a required drug test, pursuant to 46 CFR Part 16, within a reasonable time, as determined by the Consortium/Third Party Agent, after being directed to do so, is a refusal to take a required 46 CFR Part 16 drug test as described by 49 CFR § 40.191 (a)(1).
4. Refusal to take a required drug test is Misconduct as described by 46 U.S.C. § 7703(1)(B) and defined by 46 CFR § 5.27.

In Respondent's Answer, dated on or about, February 24, 2026, Respondent admits to all jurisdictional and factual allegations, as stated in the Complaint. Respondent also agreed to the proposed order of twelve (12) months outright suspension, with no additional conditions stipulated.

Upon consideration of the record, I hereby find that the allegations in the Complaint are **PROVED BY ANSWER**. I find that on October 21, 2025, Respondent committed an act of Misconduct, as described by 46 U.S.C. § 7703(1)(B) and defined by 46 C.F.R. § 5.27.

SANCTION

I have carefully reviewed the Complaint and Answer and find that the proposed sanction is appropriate under the provisions of 46 C.F.R. § 5.569.

WHEREFORE,

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, Respondent's Coast Guard issued MMC is **SUSPENDED OUTRIGHT FOR TWELVE (12) MONTHS**, commencing the date it was deposited with the Coast Guard.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, service of this decision on the parties and/or parties' representative(s) serves as notice of appeal rights set forth in 33 C.F.R. §§ 20.1001 – 20.1004. (Attachment A).

Done and dated February 26, 2026, at
Alameda, California



Hon. Timothy G. Stueve
Administrative Law Judge
U.S. Coast Guard